



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/834,165	04/12/2001	Toshiyuki Tanaka	15162/03520	3597

24367 7590 03/04/2005

SIDLEY AUSTIN BROWN & WOOD LLP  
717 NORTH HARWOOD  
SUITE 3400  
DALLAS, TX 75201

EXAMINER

AGGARWAL, YOGESH K

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2615

DATE MAILED: 03/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/834,165	<b>Applicant(s)</b> TANAKA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Yogesh K Aggarwal	<b>Art Unit</b> 2615	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 March 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 25-42 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 32-42 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 25-31 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 12 April 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☒ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>09/08/2003</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

***Election/Restrictions***

1. Applicant's election without traverse of group I, Claims 25-31 in the reply filed on 11/16/2004 is acknowledged.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 25, 26 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Miller et al. (US Patent # 6,411,306).

[Claim 25]

Miller et al. teaches an electronic camera (figure 4) having an automatic luminance and contrast display function for a display device (22) for displaying an image of a subject (col. 4 lines 30-34), a detector (16) for detecting environment light (col. 4 lines 34-38) and a corrector (18) for correcting an image displayed on said display by changing a display characteristic of the image displayed on said display in accordance with a state of the environment light detected by said detector (col. 4 lines 34-41). Miller et al. further teaches that the corrector (18) increases contrast in an image displayed on-said display (col. 2 lines 8-18, figure 3) and decreases brightness (relative brightness on Y-axis) of an image displayed on said display as brightness of said environment light increases (The brightness of surround environment light increases as shown in

Art Unit: 2615

figure 3 from DARK, DIM to AVERAGE while the relative brightness on Y-axis of the display device decreases).

[Claim 26]

The Examiner notes that an ocular (broadly read as a lens device) is inherently present on any display device in order to visually recognize the image by the user.

[Claim 28]

Miller teaches that the detector (16) is different from said image pickup device (figure 4, element 12).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miller et al. (US Patent # 6,411,306).

[Claim 27]

Miller et al. teach an image pick-up device (12) for capturing an image of the subject (col. 4 lines 30-31). Miller also teaches that the detector (16) detects brightness of environment light from exposure time and incident light amount (col. 6 lines 21-28, figures 1 and 2).

Miller does not specifically teach that the detector detects brightness of environment light from sensitivity of said image pick-up device. However Official Notice is taken of the fact that it is notoriously well known to have a detector detecting brightness of environment light based

Art Unit: 2615

upon sensitivity of said image pick-up device in order to have a more accurate measurement of the brightness of the ambient light.

Therefore taking the combined teachings of Miller and Official Notice, it would be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to have been motivated to have a detector detecting brightness of environment light based upon sensitivity of said image pick-up device in order to have a more accurate measurement of the brightness of the ambient light.

6. Claims 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miller et al. (US Patent # 6,411,306) in view of Lee et al. (US PG-PUB # 2003/0043299).

[Claim 29]

Miller et al. teaches an electronic camera (figure 4) having an automatic luminance and contrast display function for a display device (22) for displaying an image of a subject (col. 4 lines 30-34), a detector (16) for detecting environment light (col. 4 lines 34-38) and a corrector (18) for correcting an image displayed on said display by changing a display characteristic of the image displayed on said display in accordance with a state of the environment light detected by said detector (col. 4 lines 34-41).

Miller fails to teach wherein the corrector is used to change the hue of said display device in the direction of the environment light. However Lee et al. teach a video compensation technique for a display device (Paragraph 52) wherein based upon the ratio of stored color signal and recently computed color signal component (read as changing hue of the environment light wherein hue. See Applicant's specification Paragraph 120, changing the relative relations of the gains in standard state, the white balance or hue can be changed), the hue of the display device

Art Unit: 2615

can be changed as shown in steps S117 and S118 (Paragraphs 53-56, figure 7A) in order to change the hue of the display as the hue of the environment light changes.

Therefore taking the combined teachings of Miller and Lee, it would be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to have been motivated to have a corrector that is used to change the hue of said display device in the direction of the environment light. The benefit of doing so would be so that any viewer can enjoy a quality picture irrespective of the surrounding light variations as taught in Lee (Paragraph 57).

[Claim 30]

The Examiner notes that an ocular (broadly read as a lens device) is inherently present on any display device in order to visually recognize the image by the user.

[Claim 31]

Lee et al. teach that the microcomputer 30 performs white balance on the RGB data received by the RGB sensor 10, and sets a control data and white balance based upon the surrounding light level (Paragraph 10).

### ***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yogesh K Aggarwal whose telephone number is (703) 305-0346. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00AM-5:30PM.

7. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's acting supervisor, Thai Tran can be reached on (703) 305-4725. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2615

8. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

YKA  
February 14, 2005

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'PRINCE EXAMINER'.

PRINCE EXAMINER